Current Economic Programs Printed Survey Results: by the New Residential Construction in Selected Metropolitan Areas Statistics Users Respondent Base

U.S. Census Bureau Current Economic Statistics User Survey

OMB CQM-26 (Rev.5/5/98)

I. DATA CONTENT

Your answers identifying the survey data you use will help us understand our users' patterns of data use.

1. Which Current Economic Statistics from the Census Bureau do you use? (Mark all that apply.)

(1) Monthly

| 0.6%; | 1 | None |
|--------|-----|---|
| 47.8%; | 76 | Retail Sales |
| 28.9%; | 46 | Wholesale Trade |
| 35.2%; | 56 | Manufacturing/Trade Inventory/Sales |
| 86.2%; | 137 | Housing Starts |
| 87.4%; | 139 | Building Permits |
| 73.0%; | 116 | New Home Sales |
| 63.5%; | 101 | Value of New Construction Put in Place |
| 62.9%; | 100 | Housing Completions |
| 48.4%; | 77 | Expenditures for Residential Improvements |
| 67.3%; | 107 | New Residential Construction |
| 35.2%; | 56 | New Mobile Home Placements |
| 28.3%; | 45 | Monthly Imports and Exports |
| 36.5%; | 58 | Current Industrial Reports |
| 35.2%; | 56 | Manufacturers' Shipments, Inventories, Orders |
| | | |

Replies 159; Forms 166

(2) Quarterly

| 46.9%; | 30 | Quarterly Financial Report |
|--------|----|---------------------------------------|
| 81.2%; | 52 | Current Industrial Reports |
| 7.8%; | 5 | Federal Assistance Awards Data System |
| 21.9%; | 14 | Quarterly Tax Survey |
| 10.9%; | 7 | Quarterly Public Employee Retirement |
| | | |

Replies 64; Forms 166

(3) Annual

| 50.6%; | 84 | Annual Retail Trade |
|--------|-----|---------------------------------|
| 39.2%; | 65 | Annual (Wholesale) Trade |
| 20.5%; | 34 | Annual Survey of Communications |
| 26.5%; | 44 | Transportation Annual Survey |
| 24.7%; | 41 | Service Annual Survey |
| 60.8%; | 101 | County Business Patterns |

```
35.5%:
          59 ZIP Code Business Patterns
37.3%;
          62 Statistics of U.S. Businesses
59.6%;
          99 Characteristics of New Housing
84.9%; 141 Annual Building Permits
100.0%; 166 New Residential Construction in Selected Metropolitan Areas
          44 Annual Capital Expenditures
26.5%;
          82 Annual Survey of Manufactures
49.4%;
          64 Current Industrial Reports
38.6%;
15.1%;
          25 Research and Development Survey
23.5%;
          39 Plant Capacity Utilization
10.8%;
          18 Education Finance Survey
          28 State Tax Collection Survey
16.9%;
 6.6%;
          11 Annual Public Employee Retirement
          21 Annual Survey of Public Employment
12.7%;
          32 Consolidated Federal Funds Report
19.3%;
22.9%;
          38 State Government Finances
34.9%;
          58 Annual Imports and Exports
```

Replies 166; Forms 166

II. DATA DELIVERY

The Census Bureau is considering use of the Internet as the primary method of data delivery. By completing the following questions, you will help us retain the most essential delivery formats and design the best possible data delivery service.

(4) 2. How do you receive current economic data now? (Mark all that apply.)

| , | | • | Direct (E-mail) delivery Regular mail |
|---|--|---|--|
|---|--|---|--|

Replies 165; Forms 166

- visit to Census release library and requests to commerce library.
- tape (CPB)
- Check values by telephone
- also use microfiche in some cases.
- time-share vendor (private)
- hard copy computer run
- Individual sources using census bureau data
- CDRom & Publications in Library
- Second hand through trade journals
- building permits printout

(5) 3. What format do you PREFER when downloading data for further manipulation? (Mark all that apply.)

| | 113 Spreadsheet (e.g., wkl, xls, etc) 64 Database (e.g., dbf, mdb, etc) | |
|--------|---|--|
| | 48 ASCII delimited | |
| , | 30 ASCII flat files | |
| 14.0%; | 22 Retrieve from print or screen and enter manually | |
| 8.9%; | 14 Do not manipulate data | |
| 2.5%; | 4 Other | |

[•] SAS data sets

| | | | s do you want on t om 5 [high] to 1 [lo | | Intern | et site? | (For each line, indi | cate | the degree of |
|------|------------------|-----------|--|------------------|----------|----------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|
| (6) | a. Direct | (E-m | ail) delivery: | | | | | | |
| | 36.2%; 15.8%; | 55 24 | - | 23.0%; 8.6%; | 35 13 | | 9.9%; 6.6%; | 15 10 | 1 Don't know |
| | Replies 1 | 52; F | orms 166 | | | | | | |
| 7) | b. E-mail | notif | ication: | | | | | | |
| | 43.6%; 15.4%; | 65 23 | | 16.8%; 10.1%; | 25 15 | - | 10.1%; 4.0%; | 15 6 | 1 Don't know |
| | Replies 1 | 49; F | orms 166 | | | | | | |
| 8) | c. Retriev | /e/dis | splay to screen: | | | | | | |
| | 55.7%; 17.4%; | 83 26 | | 11.4%; 2.0%; | 17 3 | | 8.1%; 5.4%; | 12 8 | 1 Don't know |
| | Replies 1 | 49; F | orms 166 | | | | | | |
| (9) | d. Search | า: | | | | | | | |
| | 70.9%; 15.8%; | 112 25 | | 7.6%; 1.3%; | 12 2 | | 1.3%; 3.2%; | | 1 Don't know |
| | Replies 1 | 58; F | orms 166 | | | | | | |
| (10) | e. Downl | oad c | complete data sets | : | | | | | |
| | 71.2%; 17.0%; | 109 26 | | 5.9%; 2.0%; | 9 | | 1.3%; 2.6%; | _ | 1 Don't know |
| | Replies 1 | 53; F | orms 166 | | | | | | |
| (11) | f. Downlo | ad s | elected data: | | | | | | |
| | 74.8%; 15.7%; | 119 25 | | 3.1%; 3.8%; | 5 6 | | 0.6%; 1.9%; | | 1 Don't know |

Replies 159; Forms 166

[•] TSO files

[•] Text files: txt. or PRN

[•] Also as text or word file

| (12) | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---------------------------|----------|---|------------------|----------|--------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|
| (12) | g. Softwa | re he | elp/tutorials: | | | | | | |
| | 17.2%; 14.5%; | 25 21 | | 33.8%; 18.6%; | 49 27 | | 12.4%; 3.4%; | 18 5 | 1 Don't know |
| | Replies 14 | 15; F | orms 166 | | | | | | |
| 13) | h. Public | ation | n-quality printing: | | | | | | |
| | 29.9%; 25.3%; | 46 39 | | 15.6%; 13.6%; | 24 21 | | 13.0%; 2.6%; | 20 4 | 1 Don't know |
| | Replies 1 | 54; F | orms 166 | | | | | | |
| 4) | i. Charts | and (| graphs: | | | | | | |
| | 32.3%; 18.7%; | 50 29 | | 20.6%; 18.7%; | 32 29 | | 8.4%; 1.3%; | 13 2 | 1 Don't know |
| | Replies 1 | 55; F | orms 166 | | | | | | |
| 5) | j. Geogra | phic | maps: | | | | | | |
| | 30.7%; 31.3%; | 46 47 | | 15.3%; 14.0%; | 23 21 | | 6.7%; 2.0%; | 10 3 | 1 Don't know |
| | Replies 1 | 50; F | orms 166 | | | | | | |
| 6) | k. Other, | plea | se specify: | | | | | | |
| | 75.0%; | 9 | electronic bulletin | board | | 25.0%; | 3 Other | | |
| | Replies 12 | 2; Fo | rms 166 | | | | | | |
| | ACROB | AT F | cted data set acco FILES, which you r ns in pdf format as | nay include | in ite | | tro areas | | |
| 17) | I. Electron | ic Bu | ulletin Board (To co | ollect write-i | ns) | | | | |
| , | E0 00/ · | 1 | 5 | 0.0%; | | 3 | 0.0%; | 0 | |
| , | 50.0%; 0.0%; | 0 | 4 | 50.0%; | 1 | 2 | 0.0%; | U | Don't know |

Your answers describing how you use the data will help us design the most effective Current Economic Statistics retrieval tool.

(18) 5. Do you combine/compare/merge data from more than one source listed in Question 1?

| 19.6%; 32 No | 80.4%; 131 Yes |
|--------------|----------------|
|--------------|----------------|

Replies 163; Forms 166

(19) 6. What time periods do you consider when using these data? (Mark X all that apply.)

```
66.0%; 107 5+ years 47.5%; 77 trends up to 1 year 65.4%; 106 trends up to 5 years 0.0%; 0 Other
```

53.7%; 87 latest 1 or 2 periods

Replies 162; Forms 166

(20) 7. How do you use the data? (Mark all that apply.)

```
49.7%; 80 Create value-added products/services
```

39.1%;63 Perform patron/client inquiries31.7%;51 Inform marketing decisions

24.2%;39 Republish in newspaper/journal23.0%;37 Inform investment decisions

19.9%; 32 Store reports/printouts for archival purposes

9.9%; 16 Locate new sites

0.0%; 0 Other

Replies 161; Forms 166

• [none]

(21) 8. What explanatory information do you consult when using these data? (Mark all that apply.)

73.6%; 117 Definition of terms

61.6%; 98 Sampling and estimation methodology 56.0%; 89 Narrative text describing survey results

44.7%; 71 Survey design

10.7%; 17 None 0.6%; 1 Other

Replies 159; Forms 166

 Comparisons to earlier surveys and/or similar data from other agencies--e.g. census to BEA in one estimates.

(22) 9. Do you have any pressing data or information needs that are not currently being met?

- Regional retail sales estimates (cancelled after 1996)
- More local info. (PMSA at least)
- Non-residential building permit data.
- Yes--Need more timely and move defined (i.e.4-digit SIC code) SUB-NATIONAL data.
- Retail Trade Monthly Regional Data Survey Blue Pages, Building Permits Non-Resident & Additions.
- Apartment (Multi-Family) Statistics.
- Information on nonresidential construction.
- Data is often no longer available at couty or regional level, which is a big loss to local government.
 If possible, please reinstate (eg. retail sales). Also, no-residential building permits no longer available.
- Infor at small geographic levels, villages, small cities, townships
- Nonresidential Construction Dollars by STATE Highway Expenditures by STATE dat has to be timely.
- MCD Business Patterns, Massachusetts is doing away with county government, MCD income estimates.
- The availability of Residential and Commercial Building Permit Data.
- Accurate and timely international statistics on the air conditioning, refrigeration and heating industry.

- I'd love to see employment data by SIC based on place of residence. Also wish for reconciliation between RIMS, SIC, & NAICS.
- 1) Monthly building permits by stats. 2) Retail sales by states & MSA's.
- No.
- We really just want to get the monthly statistics listed in Question #1 timely-- the day they are released/announced to other new agencies.
- Better capital expenditure data and Better motor vehicle data.
- Non-residential building permits data nad value of new non-residential construction part in place.
- Statistics on new residential construction for MSA's in more locations (e.g. all top MSA's)
- 1) NAICS to harmonized code concordance. 2) Timely plant capacity utilization at greatest detail level possible. 3) Windows version import data/monthly annual easy to use historical with full detail. 4) New Home sales (without land).
- Yes--Commercial building permits--Bring them back now!!!
- Resume geographic estimates of retail sales.
- YES! Bring back non-residential state/local permits authorized! This was a severe loss for interpreting local economic trends. Also, more geographic detail, more frequently.
- Wish you were more current...
- Would like to have detailed Boiling permit data on line. Would really like to know which census tracts correspond to zip codes (and counties).
- Commercial Construction Permit Activity
- Monthly Retail Sales for U.S. Metropolitan Areas.
- current retail sales
- 1). Employment in types of projects, such as residential construction. 2). Construction productivity measures.
- Two pieces of information that the Census Department had done in the past--metropolitan estimates of retail trade and metro commercial construction permit activity--both annual.
- Relatively current statistics at the county and sub-county geographic levels.
- Better categorization of Import/Export information. It is very difficult to determine how much of some of our products were imported or exported.
- Non-residential construction that was deleted from current const. series.
- Non-residential Building permits.
- Housing stats and completions by state, MSA Valve of construction put-in-place by stats, MSA
- Need more detailed annual data for 6 digit SIC codes
- Place and regional economic data sets in relation to population/race trends.
- Expenditures for non-residential construction, especially non-residential reconstruction.
- Utilization of data for merging with Atlas GIS. Convert landview to include information down to Block level.
- Monthly housing inventory by metro area.
- Average expenditures per residential improvement project for single family homes by region.
 Average value for residential permits by region.
- Website is extremely difficult to navigate.
- Rent data and vacancy rates.
- Quarterly data, analyzed growth rates for all statistics. Currently, only some fo the data is shown as a quarterly growth rat analyzed.
- MSA retail sales
- Permits for remodeling and non-residential construction.
- Non-residential construction data outside California! Bring it back!
- Data at the metropolitan area level, e.g. retail sales, commercial building permits (office, industrial, retail).
- We have a need for long historical time series and for long-term forecasts.
- Bring back retail sales at metropolitan area level.
- sub-national retail trade
- Building permits for non-residents.
- Non-residential building permit data collection should be restored by state and metro area!
- Commercial building permits, Commercial real estates sales.
- Estimates of coverage by county for building permits better state and local data.
- Report on productionn of industrial fabrics

- Just wish more data were available at county or metro level in a timely fashion
- Yes-I need non-residential construction series (commercial, industrial, etc.) on a geographic basisas used to be done!
- Future of employment w/regards to manufacturing & agriculture. (i.e. what percentage of economy will these represent.) Also, resource availability trends.
- Very disappointed that non-residential building permit data is no longer being tracked--our models rely on this!

IV. INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION ISSUES

We will soon begin restructuring the Current Economic Surveys based on the new North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). Your answers will help us ensure that these surveys continue to provide the economic information needed by markets, policy makers, and business people. For more information on NAICS, see the Bureau's web site: http://www.census.gov/NAICS.

(24) 10. At what levels of detail do you MOST FREQUENTLY USE industry data classified by Standard Industrial Classification (SIC)? (Mark all that apply.)

56.2%; 86 4-digit industries (or maximum detail I can get)

41.2%; 63 Sector totals (SIC division); e.g., Manufacturing or Retail Trade

39.9%; 61 2-digit major groups 33.3%; 51 3-digit industry groups

11.8%; 18 NA (don't use SIC-based data)

2.6%; 4 Other

Replies 153; Forms 166

- digit SIC product codes
- The greatest level available--CIR level. Product level.
- I don't use this much, but others in the agency do!
- 7-digit
- (25) 11. Were you aware that the SIC system is being replaced by the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS)?

30.0%: 48 I was unaware of this

26.2%; 42 I am partially aware, but lack details

43.8%; 70 I am aware and understand some implications

Replies 160; Forms 166

12. Would you like to receive more information about NAICS?

(26) Yes, please send me - (Mark all that apply)

| 92.1%; | 93 A brochure that explains NAICS | 24.8%; | 25 Conference announcements | Conference announcer |
|--------|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| 59.4%; | 60 NAICS implementation plans | 2.0%; | 2 Other | Other |

Replies 101; Forms 166

• Send info on NAICS comparability with existing SIC data.

(27) No Thanks -

67.7%; 42 I will check the Census Bureau's web site (www.census.gov/naics)

32.3%; 20 I don't need more information about NAICS

Replies 62; Forms 166

V. USER PROFILE

Please tell us about yourself so we may serve you better.

(28) 13. Type of organization.

| 17.7%; 14.6%; | 80 Business 29 Government 24 Academic/Research 10 Media | 2.4%; 1.2%; | 7 Association4 Individual2 Library8 Other | |
|------------------|---|----------------|--|--|
|------------------|---|----------------|--|--|

Replies 164; Forms 166

- Consulting
- non-profit economic development org.
- Economic Development District State Data Center depository.
- Economic Development
- Building Material Manufacturer
- Library (University
- · Corp. Library
- Council of Governments

(29) 14. Do you work in your organization's library?

| 29.2%; | 47 Yes | 70.8%; 114 No | |
|--------|--------|---------------|--|
| | | | |

Replies 161; Forms 166

(30) 15. What is your affiliation with the Census Bureau?

| 73.6%; | 117 None | 1.9%; | 3 Census Information Center |
|--------|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|
| 10.7%; | 17 State Data Center | 10.1%; | 16 Other |
| 3.8%; | 6 Business/Industry Data Center | | |

Replies 159; Forms 166

- SDC Affiliate
- Richmond Public Library, BST Dept. 101 E. Franklin St., Richmond, VA 23219
- State Data Center Affiliate
- Cooperator in disseminating permits authorized data
- Depository
- BIDC affiliate
- Affiliate Data Center
- Economic Development Distirct State Data Center depository
- Economist
- GPO Depository
- Trade Association
- Client
- Building Permit Depository
- Consumer of housing data

- Federal Depository Library
- Local affiliate of State Data Center

(35) 20. May we contact you to follow up on this questionnaire?

78.7%; 122 Yes 21.3%; 33 No

Replies 155; Forms 166

(36) Question 21. Remarks:

- The computerized data is fine when know exactly which dat you want. It is not so good when you want to know what is available and related information; in this case the printed publication e.g. censu of Manufactures, is far superior.
- A published paper version should always be made available for purchase to the public. Information being available on the internet is nice, yet it is a completely different animal than having a hard copy version of the information. An example: I can receive my new off the internet, but I still prefer to get my newspaper in the morning for reading at my leisure away from a computer.
- Joe Cantalupo is no longer in service to the County of Rockland.
- Census bureau data is always helful--the data you provide enhances our publication and enables readers to make informal business decisions.
- Thanks for asking!
- The data we are interested in is housing stats, retail sales, etc. For the Jackson, Tenn., area. We compare those with previous months & years and with national averages.
- You have set up an excellent web site that is easy to use, fast and usually supplies me with the information I'm seeking.
- Whenever I have used statistics & required assitance I have found the staff both friendly & efficient.
 I remain very impressed with your web site & service provided.
- I prefer e-mail contacts rather than phone, as I am frequently not available at my phone for extended periods.
- We serve a varied clientale (academic, business, government, and private citizens) and work across many subject. Census data are absolutely vital to us. Our biggest need is for more geographic area detail more frequently (state, county, place, if possible). Users often do not understand the 5 yr. gaps and lag time for the major Economic Surveys that offer such detail, much less the 10 yr. decennial cycle. The internet is a great help in speeding "publication", but we find access is not yet universal. We still download and print entire documents for guide reference and historical purposes. I am concerned about just how long the electronic medi will remain accurate and usable as technology changes. How will the Bureau deal with these problems?
- I prefer retrieving info from your web site. I particularly like documents in pdf format because they look nice & are easy to use. Output can be ported directly to reports already in progress.
- Census has done a terrific job by putting so much material on the Wed. kepp up the good work.
- I am disappointed that the Census Bureau has cut back on selected metropolitan data services, namely retail sales estimates and commercial building permit activity. While I understood Budget pressures, it seems that lower cost alternative could have been implimented rather that elimination (perhaps annual figures rather that monthly). It is important that the Federal govt. provides timely information that can capture the dynamics of regional growth as well as natural growth on proud level of data services.
- Sorry for the delay--the survey didn't arrive until 8/12/98.
- I received the survey on August 7th-so was not able to return it in the time requested!

Our office is very interested in any information on the implementation of NAICS-as our researchers will be using it a great deal.

- I think that this user survey is an excellent idea; you should poll users periodically.
 - I would be happy to participate in a users' panel or advisory group.
- Desperately need this information from NAICs. Emerging technology/company information requested everyday.

- We look forward to working with you on the upcoming Census.
- Be sure that all information is made available in the most "user-friendly" manner possible!!
- I use dat available by MSA, county and sub-county data not available at these levels is generally not applicable to the work we do. In a (very) few cases (like state and local gov't finances) we use state level data.
- Tutorials and/or manuals should be sent out with Census products. I have fiddled enough with Landview III to utilize some of its versatility. Other colleagues won't due to lack of time to waste on self-teaching (fumbling).

Landview III should allow searches down to the Block level & just empty the fields which raise concerns regarding privacy at that level.

- The web site is generally very helpful a lot of information-sometimes difficult to locate information wanted.
- I find the Census Website to be fairly difficult to use. It's hard to find information that I need. For example: I was trying to find tables on the number of Households & spent probably 45 minutes locating it.
- I work as a local government long range planner. My duties include socio-economic analysis as requested by the public, politicians and officials. The Census Bureau's Web Site is one of my key resources.
- We love the Census Bureau! Clear publications indices and ease of search engines on the Internet site are very important to us. Also, choice of how to download data is good, not all users need or can use spreadsheet software.
- Will all Census data be available only via the Internet? My customers prefer printed format. Thank you.
- More documentation and instructions for obtaining data from gohper directories would be helpful.
- Keep up the good work.
- Security made your site impossible to enter from our system. My trial membership was a waste of money.
- Please provide me with the description of the monthly and annual products listed on the front page.
- Good to see this survey! Dealing with you via the Internet and mail is going well. However, trying to reach people ove rthe phone is still a major headache.
- Glad more of your publications are being made available on the Intenet! Suggestion for format of employment data-group by years first, then industry. Is there some alternative for obtaining nonresidential building permits.

Figure 1: